NTCIR-8 Meeting and Project Evaluation Form

 Please take a few minutes to fill out the following questionnaire. It will help the future NTCIR workshops. Please answer in English or Japanese and send it by e-mail to ntc-secretariat at nii.ac.jp. 								
=== Questions for all participants (from Q1 to Q14) === Q1. How did you hear about NTCIR? a. NTCIR Website b. Mailing list [name: c. Conference [name: d. Journal [name: e. Other [please specify:]]]							
Q2. Meeting schedule (4 days including Tutorial and EVIA workshop) a. Good b. Too long c. Too short Comments:								
Q3. Venue (e.g., Layout of rooms) a. Good b. Can be improved (How: Comments:)							
Q4. Time table a. Good b. Can be improved (How: Comments:)							
Q5. Time schedule for oral/poster presentations a. Good b. Too long c. Too short Comments:								
Q6. Tutorials a. Good b. Can be improved (How: c. Not participate Comments:)							
Q7. EVIA: The Third International Workshop on Evaluating Information Access a. Good b. Can be improved								

(How:

Comments:

c. Not participate

Q8. Invited talks									
a. Good b. Can be improved (How:									
Comments (including your recommending speakers for the next meeting)									
Q9. Equipments for presentations									
a. Good b. Can be improved	`								
(How: Comments:)								
Comments									
Q10. Next NTCIR-9 Schedule									
A) Cycle: a. one and a half years b. one year c. two years d. others (
B) Date: a. December 2011 b. January 2012 c. others (
C) Location: a. NII, Tokyo b. Elsewhere (please specify:)								
Q11. Would you care to be called upon to serve on some volunteer positions for NTCIR, such as committee members, task									
organizers, advisers, and guest speakers?									
a. Yes b. No									
If yes, please give us your name, affiliation and e-mail address									
Name: Affiliation:									
E-mail address:									
Comments:									
Q12. Would you care to be called upon as a sponsor or advertiser for NTCIR?									
a. Yes b. No									
If yes, please give us your name, affiliation and e-mail address									
Name: Affiliation:									
E-mail address:									
Comments:									
Q13. General comments									
Q14.Please tell us about your relationship to the NTCIR-8 workshop									
You are:									
a. A Registered NTCIR-8 task participant that submitted results									
b. A Registered NTCIR-8 task participant that did not submit results									
(Why not?)								
c. A Past Task participant that did not participate in NTCIR-8									
(Why not?)								
d. never participated in NTCIR evaluation before									
(Why not?)								
e. A NTCIR test collection user									
f. NTCIR-8 Meeting Attendee									
g. Other (Please describe:									

=== Questions for task participants (from Q15 to Q25) === Please give your comments on the process of the NTCIR Project, not only on the meeting.

Q15 How did you hear about the Call for Participation to the task(s)?

- a. NTCIR Website
- b. Mailing list [name:
- c. Conference [name:
- d. Journal [name:

]

1

e. Other [please specify:

From Q16 to Q22, please choose among your task(s). If you choose "Can be improved," please fill out the comments.

Q16 Data Distribution

- a. Good (CCLQA, IR4QA, GEOTIME, MOAT, PATMN, PATMT, CQA)
- b. Can be improved (CCLQA, IR4QA, GEOTIME, MOAT, PATMN, PATMT, CQA) Comments:

Q17. Task design

- a. Good (CCLQA, IR4QA, GEOTIME, MOAT, PATMN, PATMT, CQA)
- b. Can be improved ($\,$ CCLQA, $\,$ IR4QA, $\,$ GEOTIME, $\,$ MOAT, $\,$ PATMN, $\,$ PATMT, $\,$ CQA) Comments:

Q18. Announcement

- a. Good (CCLQA, IR4QA, GEOTIME, MOAT, PATMN, PATMT, CQA)
- b. Can be improved (CCLQA, IR4QA, GEOTIME, MOAT, PATMN, PATMT, CQA) Comments:

Q19. Evaluation method(s)

- a. Good (CCLQA, IR4QA, GEOTIME, MOAT, PATMN, PATMT, CQA)
- b. Can be improved (CCLQA, IR4QA, GEOTIME, MOAT, PATMN, PATMT, CQA) Comments:

Q20. Task schedule

- a. Good (CCLQA, IR4QA, GEOTIME, MOAT, PATMN, PATMT, CQA)
- b. Can be improved (CCLQA, IR4QA, GEOTIME, MOAT, PATMN, PATMT, CQA) Comments:

Q21. Sharing raw submission data (submission results from other participants) in NTCIR-8

- a. Good (CCLQA, IR4QA, GEOTIME, MOAT, PATMN, PATMT, CQA)
- b. Can be improved ($\,$ CCLQA, $\,$ IR4QA, $\,$ GEOTIME, $\,$ MOAT, $\,$ PATMN, $\,$ PATMT, $\,$ CQA) Comments:

a.	${\rm Yes} ($	CCLQA,	IR4QA,	GEOTIME	C, MOAT	, PATMN,	PATMT,	CQA)					
b.	No (CCLQA,	IR4QA,	GEOTIME	, MOAT,	PATMN,	PATMT,	CQA)					
c.	Plan t	to use in fut	ture work (CCLQA,	IR4QA,	GEOTIME,	MOAT,	PATMN,	PATMT,	CQA)			
Q23	Q23. Travel support												
a.	Good b. Can be improved												
(Ho	(How:												
Q24	Q24. Task proposal schedule for NTCIR-8												
a.	Good	b.	Can be im	proved									
(Ho	w:)		
Con	nments	ş :											
Q25. Other comments (If you can afford, please write up your name and contact address here.)													

Q22. Did you use raw submission data for post submission analysis?

Thank you very much.